

CSE 307: Principles of Programming Languages

Statements and Control Flow

R. Sekar

1 / 18

Topics

1. If-Then-Else

2 / 18

Control Statements

- Structured Control Statements:
- Case Statements:
 - Implementation using if-then-else
 - Understand semantics in terms of the semantics of simple constructs
 - actual implementation in a compiler
- Loops
 - while, repeat, for

3 / 18

Section 1

If-Then-Else

4 / 18

If-Then-Else

- If-then-else. It is in two forms:
 - if cond then s1 else s2
 - if cond then s1
- evaluate condition: if and only if evaluates to true, then evaluate s1 otherwise evaluate s2.
- Dangling else problem: if c1 then if c2 then s1 else s2
- may be interpreted as:


```
if c1 then
  if c2 then s1
else s2
```
- OR


```
if c1 then
  if c2 then s1 else s2
```

5 / 18

If-Then-Else (Continued)

- This ambiguity can be avoided by bracketing syntax:
 - if cond then s1 fi
 - if cond then s1 else s2 fi
- The above intended statements can be written as:


```
if c1 then
  if c2 then s1 else s2 fi
fi
```
- OR


```
if c1 then
  if c2 then s1 fi
else s2 fi
```
- Another way to avoid ambiguity is to use: associate else with closest “if” that doesn’t have “else”. This is used in most programming languages (C, C++ etc)

6 / 18

Case Statement

- Case statement

```
switch(<expr>){
  case <value> :
  case <value> :
  ...
  default :
```

- Evaluate “<expr>” to get value v. Evaluate the case that corresponds to v.
- Restriction:
 - “<value>” has to be a constant of an original type e.g., int, enum
 - Why?

7 / 18

Implementation of case statement

- Naive algorithm:

- Sequential comparison of value v with case labels.
- This is simple, but inefficient. It involves $O(N)$ comparisons

```
switch(e){
  case 0:s0;
  case 1:s1;
  case 2:s2;
  case 3:s3;
}
```

- can be translated as:

```
v = e;
if (v==0) s0;
else if (v == 1) s1;
else if (v == 2) s2;
else if (v == 3) s3;
```

8 / 18

Implementation of case statement (Continued)

- Binary search:

- $O(\log N)$ comparisons, a drastic improvement
- over sequential search for large N.

- Using this, the above case statement can be translated as

```
v = e;
if (v<=1)
  if (v==0) s0;
  else if (v==1) s1;
else if (v>=2)
  if (v==2) s2;
  else if (v==3) s3;
```

9 / 18

Implementation of case statement (Continued)

- Another technique is to use hash tables.
- This maps the value v to the case label that corresponds to the value v .
- This takes constant time (expected).

10 / 18

Control Statements (contd.)

- while:
 - let $s1 = \text{while } C \text{ do } S$
 - then it can also be written as
 - $s1 = \text{if } C \text{ then } \{S; s1\}$
- repeat:
 - let $s2 = \text{repeat } S \text{ until } C$
 - then it can also be written as
 - $s2 = S; \text{if } (!C) \text{ then } s2$
- loop
 - let $s = \text{loop } S \text{ end}$
 - its semantics can be understood as $S; s$
 - S should contain a break statement, or else it won't terminate.

11 / 18

For-loop

- Semantics of for ($S2; C; S3$) S can be specified in terms of while:
 - $S2; \text{while } C \text{ do } \{ S; S3 \}$
- In some languages, additional restrictions imposed to enable more efficient code
 - Value of index variable can't change loop body, and is undefined outside the loop
 - Bounds may be evaluated only once

12 / 18

Unstructured Control Flow

- Unstructured control transfer statements (goto) can make programs hard to understand:

```

40:if (x > y) then goto 10
    if (x < y) then goto 20
    goto 30
10:x = x - y
    goto 40
20:y = y -x
    goto 40
30:gcd = x

```

13 / 18

Unstructured Control Flow (Continued)

- Unstructured control transfer statements (goto) can make programs hard to understand:

```

40:if (x > y) then goto 10
    if (x < y) then goto 20
    goto 30
10:x = x - y
    goto 40
20:y = y -x
    goto 40
30:gcd = x

```

- Equivalent program with structured control statements is easier to understand:

```

while (x!=y) {
    if (x > y) then x=x-y
    else y=y-x
}

```

14 / 18

Control Statements (contd.)

- goto should be used in rare circumstances
 - e.g., error handling.
- Java doesn't have goto. It uses labeled break instead:

```

l1: for ( ... ) {
    while (...) {
        ....
        break l1
    }
}

```

- break l1 causes exit from loop labeled with l1

15 / 18

Control Statements (contd.)

- Restrictions in use of goto:
 - jumps across procedures
 - jumps from outer blocks to inner blocks or unrelated blocks

```
goto l1;
if (...) then {
  int x;
  x = 5;
l1: y = x*x;
}
```

- Jumps from inner to outer blocks are permitted.

16 / 18

Statements

$S \rightarrow id = E ;$	type stmt = Assign of id * expr
$S \rightarrow if\ C\ S\ [else\ S]$	If of cond * stmt * stmt
$S \rightarrow while\ C\ S$	While of cond * stmt
$S \rightarrow \{ S+ \}$	Block of stmt list ; ;

- What does the statement $y = x + 1;$ do?
- The effect of a statement is to change the store.
- `eval_stmt: stmt * environment * store -> store`
- We will use a function `update_store` to change the store:
`update_store(s, l, v)` gives a new store `sn` which is identical to `s` except that location `l` in `sn` contains value `v`.

17 / 18

Evaluating statements: The Program

```
eval_stmt(stmt, env, store) =
  match stmt with
  | Assign(x, e) ->
    let l = binding_of(env, x)
    and v = eval_expr(e, env, store)
    in update_store(store, l, Intval(v))
  | If(c, s1, s2) ->
    if (eval_cond(c, env, store))
    then eval_stmt(s1, env, store)
    else eval_stmt(s2, env, store)
  | While(c, s) ->
    if (eval_cond(c, env, store))
    then let store' = eval_stmt(s, env, store)
         in eval_stmt(While(c, s), env, store')
    else store
  ...
```

18 / 18